lizes the domestic market under protection, but is a competitor in the foreign market. Another is that the domestic firm is a monopolist both in the domestic market and in the foreign market. The analysis is also extended to the case of Cournot duopoly. It is assumed that two domestic firms occupy the domestic and foreign markets between which price discrimination is possible. Since the rival firms in the importing country are not explicitly treated, there is no possibility of changes in oligopolistic interdependence between exporting firms and import-competing firms.

As the author insists, the model can fit many different configurations of threat situations—i.e., the case of perfect competition and that of monopoly and oligopoly, the case of the quota strategy and that of the VER strategy, the case of fixed quotas and that of proportional ones, etc. However, at the same time, the wide applicability of this book implies the existence of many open questions. For example, it might also be interesting to analyze in what kind of industry the exporting country tends to choose the VER (or quota) strategy. Further, the analysis does not tell us how the importing country determines the quota threat level.

Thus, this book presents a model structure which can be used to analyze the effect of quota threats in various circumstances, but it also leaves many important questions unanswered.

YOSHIYASU ONO

Osaka University

REFERENCE

Bhagwati, Jagdish N. and Srinivasan, T. N. "Optimal Trade Policy and Compensation under Endogenous Uncertainty: The Phenomenon of Market Disruption," J. Inter. Econ., Nov. 1976, 6(4), pp. 317–36.

420 Trade Relations; Commercial Policy; International Economic Integration

Trade and structural change in Pacific Asia.

Edited by Colin I. Bradford, Jr. and
William H. Branson. National Bureau of
Economic Research Conference Report series. Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press, 1987. Pp. xix, 558. \$58.00. ISBN
0-226-07025-5.

IEL 87-1093

This book is a collection of papers by various authors focusing on the economies of Pacific Asia. The papers were produced for a 1984 seminar sponsored by the NBER and the Malaysian Economic Association.

The book is thorough, and the articles well written and reasonably well connected with each other, which reflects very good editorial work. The volume assembles the established wisdom concerning a group of countries that have been extremely successful following rather unconventional strategies, blending for example export promotion with elements of a planned economy and therefore divorcing the conventional association between export promotion and liberal markets. The first essay by the editors, gives a useful overview of all the issues discussed in the book and ties them together neatly.

There are two types of papers here: the first addresses more or less theoretical issues of trade and development with applications to countries of East and Southeast Asia. These deal inter alia with issues such as the "secret" behind the prosperity of the Gang of Four (South Korea, Taiwan. Hong Kong and Singapore) as well as the meaning and success of export-oriented strategies, and more generally of trade patterns based on the theory of relative advantages in factor use (i.e., the international division of labor). The second type of papers are less theoretical, and refer to particular issues in particular countries. There are "case studies" of, for example, Singapore trade in manufactures and trade patterns in Taiwan and Thailand.

The first type of paper sets the tone and underlying theoretical structure for the latter type. We have therefore a division of labor within the book: the more theoretical papers are intensive in the use of "intellectual capital" (i.e., economic theory); and the more applied papers are more intensive in the use of "intellectual labor" (i.e., economic data gathering).

Perhaps not surprisingly, the capital intensive papers are produced by economists from industrial countries and appear first in the volume, establishing the book's frame of reference. On the whole, the labor intensive articles are written by economists from the developing countries concerned, within the framework laid by the former papers. I now refer to arguments presented in the book itself to discuss this intellectual division of labor. I consider it less productive than would have been a more evenhanded division of labor, where papers from

developing countries' economists set the overall thought structure for the trade and development issues which directly concern their countries.

This point can be seen more clearly in relation to a cluster of issues which reappear throughout the book, and which are currently hotly debated within the development and trade literature. These issues are widely referred to under the rubric of "international division of labor." Such division of labor is studied in Pacific Asia vs. the rest of the world. This issue is of importance in determining whether Pacific Asia's NICs represent exceptional cases or replicable models. The editors ask: "Are the NIC's sui generis" (in their use of factors)? The meaning of the NIC category explored most thoroughly in this volume is the relationship between exceptional export performance, especially in the growth of manufactured exports. and dynamic development, by which is meant some combination of rapid aggregate economic growth and structural change.

A number of broad issues are recurrent throughout the book, in particular the relationship of factor proportions to the composition of trade, the impact of abundant resource endowments on industrialization and manufactured exports, and the rapidity of adjustment of the patterns of trade and structures of production to dynamic growth in different "tiers" of economies" (see p. 7).

On page 12 "Factor Proportions and Trade" the editors further develop this theme:

Countries at given moments in time can be thought of as being on a ladder of comparative advantage, tiered according to their standing in factor endowments. Data in the papers by Bradford and Krause confirm that the composition of exports from Pacific Asia reflects different factor intensities among countries and over time. Moving up the ladder of comparative advantage, natural-resource-intensive exports, diminish continuously as a percentage of total exports, labor-intensive exports surge and fade, and physical and human capital-intensive exports increase their share.

The very substantial preponderance of laborintensive exports from the Asian NICs is clearly manifested in the data in Bradford's paper as is the increase in physical and human capital exports. The pascent rise of labor-intensive exports from the next-tier NICs in the 1970s is also apparent. Accelerated structural change of exports is evident in the European NICs and Indonesia, where natural resource exports generate the foreign exchange required for growth.

The issue here is the applicability of the theory of the international division of labor to the success of the Pacific NICs. There are two reasons why the traditional theory does not apply: one is that free markets were not the rule but the exception in these successful export promotion cases. The book makes this point clear (see, e.g., pp. 16–20). The theory of the division of labor, which predicts growth and welfare benefits from labor intensive specialization in labor rich countries, is certainly based on "free markets, and does not apply, at least not immediately, to "dirigiste" economies.

The second point is perhaps more interesting. It is *not* specialization in labor intensive exports but rather the swift move away from them that characterizes these successful NICs, as the data presented here also show. What worked for the NICs is the opposite of what is predicted by the conventional theory of the division of labor.

The quote from the editors' chapter provided above shows that they view this divergence as the structural change involved in "climbing up" the factor intensity ladder. The conventional view is of course that this ladder is climbed as labor becomes more scarce because the 'pull in this factor through increased production of labor intensive goods leads naturally to higher wages and thus more use of capital.'

However, there is no coherent model showing how specialization in labor intensive exports leads to climbing up the factor intensity ladder towards more capital intensive exports. There are, to the contrary, very good theories showing that specialization in labor intensive exports, far from being a step up the ladder, could be a trap leading to lower wages and more poverty. and to the same or more labor intensity, rather than less. I refer to Arthur Lewis' celebrated dynamic model of development with unlimited supplies of labor, and more recent pieces in the 1980s showing such effects in a general equilibrium model of trade (Chichilnisky, "Terms of Trade and Domestic Distribution: Export-Led Growth with Abundant Labour," J. Devel. Econ., Apr. 1981, 8(2), pp. 163-92).

In sum: what requires explanation is how these countries climbed the factor intensity ladder, how they succeeded in defying conventional wisdom in their use of labor, how they controverted theories from industrial countries advising them to specialize in labor intensive exports and adopt freer markets, and how they used policy in a "dirigiste" fishion to escape the labor intensive trap and move, through directed structural change, into capital intensive and more lucrative exports. The book does not provide answers to these most important questions nor do the economic theories proposed here (by economists from industrial countries) advance our theoretical understanding of these puzzles. But these excellent essays improve our understanding of the questions by focusing our thoughts on them.

Graciela Chichilnisky Columbia University

430 Balance of Payments; International Finance

Dollars, debts, and deficits. By RUDIGER DORNBUSCH. Leuven: Leuven University Press; Cambridge, MA and London: MIT Press, 1986. Pp. 240. \$22.50. ISBN 0-262-04085-9. JEL 88-0159

Rudiger Dornbusch has become an international legend of sorts among policy-oriented economists and policy makers. He shuttles around the world advising ministers and Central Bank governors, and presenting his views on a variety of policy issues to diverse groups of politicians, academics and businessmen. He has written policy pieces on virtually every Latin American country-his favorites being Argentina, Brazil, Mexico, Chile and Peruon most of the continental European nations, on Korea, Australia and the United Kingdom, among others. This book collects a sample of Dornbusch's recent policy writings. It contains eight articles and is divided in three parts: exchange rate problems and the dollar, the LDC's debt problem, and Europe's fiscal policies and growth. With the exception of the first and last chapters—which are adapted from testimony before the U.S. Senate and a lecture presented at Kings College, London-all of the chapters have been previously published in journals or collections. In addition to the eight chapters Dornbusch has written introductions to each of the three parts of the book; these are not mere summaries, but rather succulent policy

pieces in their own right. The fact that this is a collection of policy papers should not deceive the prospective reader. These are not funky or soft pieces. Quite on the contrary, every paper is rooted in economic theory and a number of them include simple, and yet powerful, formal models that help focus the discussion.

In spite of the fact that the subjects covered are diverse, Dornbusch's preoccupation with fiscal policy constraints is the clear unifying theme that runs from article to article. When it comes to macroeconomics, Dornbusch is, without doubt, a fiscalist. He thinks that fiscal policy can have important effects in the short run. In that regard this book presents a view that is very different from that of the recent vintage of equilibrium macroeconomics. For Dornbusch, agents face incomplete information and can make mistakes: disequilibrium situations are common and, consequently, there is clear room for policy.

The first part of the book—Chapters 1 through 3—deals with the crucial issue of equilibrium and disequilibrium real exchange rates (RERs), and centers on the erratic behavior of the dollar since 1980. This problem has recently occupied the efforts of a number of economists. The key question is how to explain the large deviations from purchasing power parity (PPP) that have been observed since the abandonment of the Bretton Woods system in 1973. More specifically, the question is how to disentangle RER movements that are justified by changes in "fundamentals"—that is equilibrium movements in RERs—from unjustified or disequilibrium RER movements.

Chapters 2 and 3 deal more specifically with the behavior of the floating rate system. The discussion follows a by now well-known line. After documenting "excessive" exchange rate volatility (not anticipated by the proponents of flexible rates in the 1950s and 1960s) Dornbusch proposes a number of possible theoretical explanations that include bubbles, peso problems and other irregularities. He then discusses possible cures. In both chapters he strongly argues for "real interest rate equalization taxes." The justification for proposing this type of taxes is simple: disequilibrium movements in real exchange rates stem mainly from real interest rate differentials. Consequently, if we want to avoid